FINANCIAL STATUS REPORT

(Long Form)

ORIGINAL

Prescribed by OMB Circulars A-102 and A-110

(Follow Instructions on previous pages and next page) 1. Federal Agency and Organizational Element to 2. Federal Grant or Other Identifying Number Assigned By Federal Agency OMB Approval Page 1 of Which Report is Submitted. 39.011 Section 102 Nο 0348-0039 Elections Assistance Commission 1 Pages Recipient Organization (Name and complete address, including ZIP codes Office of the Secretary of State, Elections Division PO Box 40229 Olympia, WA 98504-0229 5. Recipient Account Number or Identifying Number 6. Final Report Basis Yes X No Cash X Accrual Funding Grant Period (See instructions) Period Covered by This Report From: (Month, Day, Year) To (Month, Day, Year) From: (Month, Day, Year) To: (Month, Day, Year) January 1, 2005 December 31, 2005 4/23/03 Undetermined 10. Transactions: 111 Previously Reported This Period Cumulative Total outlays 518,327,14 1,635,763.10 2,154,090.24 Refunds, rebates, etc. b. Program income used in accordance with the deduction alternative d. Net outlays (Line a, less the sum of lines b and c) 518.327.14 1,635,763.10 2,154,090.24 Recipient's share of net outlays, consisting of: 0.00 Third party (in-kind) contributions Other Federal awards authorized to be used to match this award 0.00 Program income used in accordance with the matching or cost g. 0.00 sharing alternative h. All other recipient outlays not shown on lines e, f, or g. 0.00 i. Total recipient share of net outlays (sum of lines e, f, g, and h) 0.00 Federal share of net outlays (line d less line i) j. 518,327.14 1,635,763.10 2,154,090.24 k, Total unliquidated obligations 0.00 1. Recipient's share of unliquidated obligations 0.00 Federal share of unliquidated obligations m. 0.00 Total Federal share (sum of lines j and m) n. 518,327,14 1.635.763.10 2,154,090.24 Total Federal funds authorized for this funding period 0. 6,799,430.00 Unobligated balance of Federal funds (Line o minus line n) p. 4,645,339.76 Program income consisting of: Disbursed program income shown on lines c and/or g above Disbursed program income using the addition alternative s. Undisbursed program income 0.00 217,071.00 217,071.00 Total program income realized (Sum of lines q, r, and s) i. 0.00 217,071.00 217,071.00 Type of Rate (Place "X" in appropriate box) 11. Indirect Provisional Predetermined Final Fixed Expenses b. Rate Base d. Total Amount e. Federal Share 12. Remarks: Attach any explanations deemed necessary or information required by Federal sponsoring agency in compliance with governing legislation 13. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete and that all outlays and unliquidated obligations are for the purposes set forth in the award documents. Typed or Printed Name and Title Telephone (area code, number and extension) Linda Shea, Financial Services Manager (360) 586-1171 Signature of Authorized Certifying Official Date Report Submitted ·2000 Previous Edition Usable NSN 7540-01-012-4285 269-104 Standard Form 269 (Rev. 7-97)

200-498 P.O. 139 (Face)



Title 1, Section 102 HAVA funds.

Washington State received \$6,799,430.00 under Title I, section 102 of the Help America Vote Act. Funds provided under this section may only be used for the replacement of punch card or lever voting systems. Washington has sixteen counties that qualify for punch card replacement under this section.

In accordance with HAVA and our State plan, the flowing has been paid out of section 102 in Calendar Year 2005 (CY05):

- 1. Asotin County \$159,872.50
- 2. Franklin County \$265,741.18
- 3. Mason County \$1,455,23
- 4. Stevens County \$150,090.54
- 5. Thurston County \$465,602.85
- 6. Whatcom County \$568,027.17
- 7. Yakima County \$24,688.03

Number and type of articles of voting equipment.

- 1. **Asotin County** Grant funds were awarded for the following:
 - 3 eSlate Disability Access Units (DAUs) and
 - 4 1 central count tabulator from HART Intercivic.
- 2. **Franklin County** They were awarded a grant for 10 AVC Edge disability voting devices and two four hundred C Optech tabulators from Sequoia Voting Systems. However, since HAVA only paid 58% (\$265,741.18/\$459,000) of the grant costs in CY05, Equipment attributable to HAVA is as follows:
 - 4 6 AVC Edge disability voting devices
 - ↓ 1 Four hundred C Optech tabulators
- 3. **Mason County** Funds were not spent on articles of voting equipment. However, a portion of their grant award included training and voter education on the new system. Articles of voting equipment were not purchased in CY05.
- 4. Stevens County They were awarded a grant for 4 eSlate DAUs and 2 scanners/tabulation equipment from HART Intercivic. However, since HAVA only paid 62% (\$150,090.54/\$241,000) of the grant costs in CY05, Equipment attributable to HAVA is as follows:
 - 2.5 eSlate DAUs
 - 4 1.2 scanners/tabulators
- 5. Thurston County Grant funds were awarded for the following:
 - 5 M650 central tabulators from Elections Systems and Software (ES&S)
- 6. Whatcom County Grant funds were awarded for the following:
 - 3 four hundred C Optech tabulators from Sequoia Voting



7. Yakima County – In CY04, I reported the following:

Yakima County received a grant for \$518,327.14 in August 2004 to replace their punch card voting system with proprietary voting equipment from Hart Intercivic. Since the total cost to the county was \$887,041.96, they may apply for a supplemental grant to cover the additional costs after April 1, 2005.

The actual grant award was for \$543,015.17, a difference of \$24,688.03. The county received a payment in CY05 in the amount of \$24,688.03 to make up the difference. The \$24,688.03 was actually paid in CY04, but was applied to the incorrect state fund. A journal voucher was completed early 2005 to correct this error.

This does not change the number and type of articles of voting equipment reported in CY04. No additional equipment was purchased in CY05.

Other.

In an attempt to get a price break for counties that needed to convert from punch card voting equipment, the state posted a Request for Proposals (RFP). This activity took place in 2004, However, there was an error in the coding used. The mistake was discovered in early 2005 and a journal voucher was completed to charge \$285.60 to section 102.